Overview of CC[^]S U=use

Gulf Coast **Carbon Center**

Susan D. Hovorka Gulf Coast Carbon center Bureau of Economic Geology Jackson School of Geosciences The University of Texas at Austin

Prepared for Midwest Governor's Association, September 2011

 $\mathbb{P}^1 \cap \mathbb{P}^1$

Gulf Coast Carbon Center (GCCC)

BEG Team Scott Tinker Michael Young Sue Hovorka Tip Meckel J. P. Nicot Rebecca Smyth Ramon Trevino Katherine Romanak Seyyed Hosseini Changbing Yang Dave Carr Brad Wolaver Alex Sun Jiemin Lu Jong Won Choi Ian Duncan Carey King students and others

LLNL

ORNL NETL SNL New Mexico Tech Mississippi State U U of Mississippi **SECARB** SWP UT-PGE UT- CIEEP UT- DoGS UT-Law UT- LBJ school BEG- CEE JSG – EER Univ. Edinburgh RITE CO2-CRC

SCHOOL OF GEOSCIENCES

What is Geologic Sequestration?

To reduce $CO₂$ emissions to air from point sources..

 CO_2 is captured as concentrated high pressure fluid by one of several methods..

 CO_2 is shipped as supercritical fluid via pipeline to a selected, permitted injection site

 CO_2 injected at pressure into pore space at depths below and isolated (sequestered) from potable water.

 $CO₂$ stored in pore space over geologically significant time frames.

Gulf **Coast** Carbon **Center**

main doubts – recycle and oil production

Separation and Recycle is Essential to EOR

How does EOR compare to storage-only?

- CO $_2$ injection $\qquad \qquad \bullet$ CO $_2$
- •Large area of pressure increase
- Inferred trapping
- Brine $= CO₂$ weakly soluble
- Few wells
	- Sparse information
	- Low well failure risk
-
- Evolving frameworks for permitting and pore space access
-

Storage only green field THEOR brownfield

 $\cdot CO_{2}$ injection + o il and $CO₂$ production + CO_2 recycle

- Pressure control
- •Demonstrated trapping
- \cdot Oil + water = $CO₂$ very soluble
- Many wells
	- Dense information
- Well management expense •All Cost • **Cost + revenue**
	- •Historic frameworks for permitting and pore space access
- Public acceptance ?? Public acceptance good

Can EOR accept the volumes of $CO₂$ needed to benefit the atmosphere?

12-14 billion metric tons potential EOR market

Annual stationary source emissions 7 billion metric tons

ARI 2010 0.9 billion metric tons current planned market (ARI 2010)

138 billion metric tons storage resource in depleted gas reservoirs (NETL 2008 NATCARB)

3,297 billion metric tons storage resource in brine formations (NETL 2008 NATCARB)

Role of EOR in Sequestration

Time

Is EOR available to serve US needs?

Permian Basin

EOR Regions

Mississippi Salt Basin

Gulf Coast Basin

Power Plants

- Pure $CO₂$ sources
- Oil and Gas (USGS)
- Coal (USGS)
- Brine Aquifer> 1000m

Rocky Mtn. Basins

Compiled from USGS data

EOR is geographically less widespread compared to brine storage

Injection in a Trap vs. Injecting on Dip

Area occupied by CO_2 is confined, but column height is conserved or very slowly dissolved over time

> Area occupied by $CO₂$ is not laterally confined, but column height is quickly reduced and CO2 is trapped by capillary processes and dissolved over time = Reduced leakage risk

Dip

EOR and Sequestration - only have Different Pressure Footprints

Storage only

CO₂

 $CO₂$ injection (no production) pressure elevation extends beyond the $CO₂$ injection area

 $CO₂$ injection is approximately balanced by oil, $CO₂$, and brine production so pressure elevation beyond the $CO₂$ injection area is minimal

Elevated pressure

Role of Dissolution in Plume and Pressure Evolution

 $CO₂$ injected into brine: Minor dissolution: volume displaced 4% less than volume injected $CO₂$ injected into oil: Complete dissolution: volume displaced as much as 40% less than volume injected

Less space occupied = enhanced security and lower pressure.

Calculations by Changbing Yang, BEG

Characterization of the Reservoir

Cost and value of EOR– the hard numbers

- Price of oil
- Cost of EOR operations
	- $-$ CO₂ cost
	- Capitol investment wells, pipelines
	- Operational costs compression, lifting cost, chemicals

Cost and Value of EOR – fuzzy numbers

Declining oil production $-$ Cost for communities $=$ jobs – Direct link to public acceptance – Cost for balance of trade • Environmental impacts – Brownfield production – Continued oil production and resultant carbon impact

Rolling from EOR to Storage Only via Stacked Storage

• By developing multiple injection zones beneath the EOR zone, the footprint of the $CO₂$ and pressure plume can be minimized and storage volume maximized

Characterization and monitoring for retention

Assuring Permanence via Monitoring during CO₂ EOR Field Operation Activities Linked to Storage Monitoring

Reservoir characterization for flood design & engineering: Reservoir and fluid properties Numerical model Field development: Well workover Balance floodinjection/production Conformance control **Field development and operation activities Monitoring for assurance of storage** Characterization for risk&assurance assessment – above zone hydrogeology, groundwater, soil, surface Injection permits – location, maximum pressure Risk and long term storage assurance model Surveillance of expected performance – data and history match Surveillance for leakage Out of pattern, Above-zone, soil, water

Carbon tracking $@$ surface facilities

Independent review Documentation

Motivation for Monitoring Programs

• **Historic Motivation**

- Groundwater and surface water protection
- Historic damages = salinization

• **Current motivations**

- Benefit to the atmosphere
- Follow the \$ -Who pays gap between cost of capture and purchase price of $CO₂$? - now taxpayer -ultimately electricity rate payer
- Liability (real issue?)

• Public concerns/values/standards

EOR Provides Experience in Handling $CO₂$

The Track Record CO² Enhanced Oil Recovery In the US

over 13,000 EOR wells

over 3600 miles of $CO₂$ pipelines

over 600 million tons/year of CO_2 transported

Cumulatively approximately 1.2 billion metric tons of $CO₂$ injected

Ian Duncan, 2011

Transition From… To

Research Monitoring

Tests-

- Hypotheses about the nature of the perturbation created
	- compare response modeled to the response observed via monitoring.
- Performance and sensitivity of monitoring tools
	- sensitivity to the perturbation
	- conditions under which tool is useful,
	- reliability under field conditions.

Commercial Monitoring

Confirms -

- predictions of containment based on site characterization at the time of permitting are correct
- Confidence to continue injection is gained
	- monitoring observations that are *reasonably close* to model predictions
	- any non-compliance explained.
	- no unacceptable consequences result from injection
- Monitoring frequency could be diminished through the life of the project
	- eventually stopped, allowing the project to be closed.

Pay attention to the data that disturbs our entrenched beliefs

Jonah Lehrer "How we decide"

Traps and seals that held oil will hold $CO₂$

If injection occurs much more rapidly than charge, will it fill the trap the same way?

How will fault-seals respond to changes in pressure and fluid chemistry?

How much $CO₂$ escapes from pattern floods?

The Issue of Wells

Well Density

1.6 well/ $km²$

Texas Gulf Coast: 2.4 well/km²

Alberta Basin: 0.5 well/km²

Most O&G provinces: <<1 well/km²

So how good are wells?

surface

Case and cement to seal off freshwater (USDW) (2000 ft in Gulf Coast)

> **Remaining open annulus between rock and casing= Potential leakage path for CO² or displaced brine May be healed by creep of sloughing**

Production casing and cement above production zone

Oil reservoir,

add CO² for tertiary production of hydrocarbon resource

What is known and not known about cement performance

- $CO₂$ + water = weak acid, in the lab in open cells consumes cement in months
- $CO₂$ EOR has been conducted with standard well completions for decades
- Several "dissected" multi-decade old CO₂ wells, cement appears OK
- What will happen over hundreds of years?
- Research by Carbon Capture Project, Princeton, Schlumberger etc.

GCCC Field Tests for Monitoring and Verification Technologies - DOE-NETL and Industry Hosts

POST COMBUSTION CAPTURE OF CO2

Post-Combustion CO2 Capture Systems

- The separation of CO2 from the flue gases produced after burning coal in air
	- The CO2 separation from flue gas at low concentration and pressure
		- The most common separation method used are Amines (chemical solvents)

Ian Duncan

ISSUES WITH POST COMBUSTION CAPTURE OF CO2

- Very large volumes of flue gas must be processed
- CO2 separation by Amines uses 25 to 30% of plant energy
- Compression CO2 required because low pressure processes
- New electric plants must be built to maintain power generation

Ian Duncan

PRE COMBUSTION CAPTURE CO2

Gasification of Coal

Modified from Eastman Chemical

Geologic Sequestration of Carbon – Put it back

Carbon extracted from coal or other fossil fuel…

Returned into the earth where it came from